Previous references.

191

(Sep. Rev

File No. 35-92

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Major ~~Part~~ B. for Oct 93.

SUBJECT

Claim of Mr. A. Srinivasa Iyengar, Retd Asst Commr for the local cess on toddy Revenue.

From. The Excise Commr

Dy No 5928 / R 1543 - 93-12-92. No 3018.

Submits for favorable sanction letter from the Dy Commr Mysore Dist re. the claim of the Amildar of Godagere, for exemption from the payment of local cess on the toddy Revenue payable to him by toddy Contract

2. To. The Ex. Commr. No 6758 of 5-10-93.

States that the question was decided long ago by the Chief Commr of the day in Cs Proc 2435 / Rev 21, of 19.6.1875 & ~~Govt~~ that Govt declined to interfere. ~~no 16 Thus~~. Indeed

N Hammanthe

G. P. S.—55

GPB—4,000—17-11

10

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

SECTION B 92
FILE No. 35 - 92
SERIAL No. 1

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Claim of Mr A. Srinivas Iyengar, Retired Asst. Comr & Mortgagee of ½ share of the Sarvamanya Gavadagere for the Local Cess on Toddy Revenue

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. 3018/160 dated 3rd Dec/92 from the Excise Comr.

In Oct/91 a complaint was presented to the Dy Comr, Mysore Distt, by Mr Srinivas Iyengar that though the revenue from toddy had been included in the items composing the Jodi of the future Village as per Sanad

of H. H. the Nizam bearing
Dy 6th Feby 1826, and through
the local cess on the Ryotee
Bearing of the Village is
being paid by him to
Govt, he is not required
to pay again the Local
Cess on the Toddy rent
which he gets from
the Govt Contractor.

2. The Dy Collr after
making some preliminary
enquiries on the
subject, obtained an
Extract from the Inam
Settlement register of
that Village, which

showed that the toddy
revenue estimated at
Pagodas 207–1–8 (about
Rs 603–12–0 at 2–14–8
per pagoda) had been
included in the Beriz
of 559–6–2 Pagodas
or Rs 1627–15–6

3 The amount of toddy
rent now payable to
the Maundar for
half the Village is Rs 115/-
per annum exclusive
of the value of the surplus
toddy exported from
the Main Village to
other parts which the

4

Dep. uty Com.r says amounts to Rs 1407-. Thus the total income per annum would only be Rs 255/- which is less than 1/2 the Toddy Revenue of the Village.

4. Under these circumstances the Dy Com.r submits the Case for Orders & States that it appears to him that the levy of the Local Cess separately on the Toddy Revenue payable by the Contractor would be a double levy of the same

5. The Cess on the Toddy Revenue payable by the Contractor to the Headmans was Collected in the previous years under the authority of Chief Comrs Progs No 2435 Rev 121 Dy 19th June/75 which provides for no exemption from the levy of the Cess even in cases in which the revenue from Toddy has been distinctly treated as assets in Calculating the Rents of the Village

vide para 2 of the Progs

6 The Excise Comr in
submitting this case for
Orders states that the
question of the payment
of cess in regard to all
Inam Villages without
any exception seems to
have been settled in
Govt Progs of 1875 but
under the exceptional
circumstances stated by
the Dy Comr he recom
mends the case for
the favourable Consideration
of Orders of Govt.

Submitted for orders.

KS 8/6 — DB 10/6

7

Local Cess on the total beriz of the Inam village is levied from the Inamdar.

The beriz consists of different items such as - Land Revenue, Mohatarfa Sayar, Toddy &c

The Complaint is that Local Cess on Toddy Revenue is paid twice - once by the Inamdar with the total amount fixed for the village & next by the Toddy Contractor who is authorised to deduct the Local Cess from the Inamdar's rent from this source

Para 2 of the Chief Commrs Pro No: 2435 of 19th June 1875 Rev 21 requires that "the Cess leviable on the Inamdar's rent from this source should be paid direct to the Govt: by the Contractor, on account of the Inamdars, and be deducted by him from the amount, which he pays to them".

of rent

The payment of Cess

Thus made Direct to the
Govt is as seen above
on account of the Inamdar

Now the question is whether
the Inamdar is liable to
the Cess again when he
pays the L.Cess on the
entire heirs of the village,
& they should not he be
allowed to deduct the
amount of Cess directly
paid by the Contractor
to the Govt from the
total amount of Cess
payable by the Inamdar
on the aggregate heirs
or the aggregate body
including

As regards Land Rev
the Inamdars have power
to recoup themselves for
Local funds payments
made by them to Govt:
from the occupiers of
lands' (Secy Docket
No: 2529-65 Dated 1872
Augt 9). There appears
to be no such authority
as regards other items.
What holds good of
Land Rev: may stand so
as regards other items also
(Please see para 2 of
the Abstract Dr: Govt's

Letter no: 137 of 26th May
1875 quoted in extenso
in the Chief Comr's Ro
referred to above

22/6/93

Lt. Gn Offg
Dewan's orders
The question has
been decided long ago by
the Chief Commissioner
of the Day
17/9

Draft
29/9
29/9
Draft Sub.
30/9

Rev.
Regr. & return with
refees. Mrn
6/12

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 . 5928.

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore. R/5743

Printed No: quoted in letter put up 9/12

SECTION

FILE No. To

SERIAL No.

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 3rd December 1892.

Despatched 5

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 3018

No. 160

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Submits for the favorable consideration and orders of Government, letter from the Deputy Commr., Bangalore District, with its enclosures, regarding the claim of the Inamdar of Gowdagere, Nandya taluk, for exemption from the payment of local cess on the Toddy revenue payable to him by Toddy Contractors.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the same No 6758 dy 5/10/93
R797-93

Excise Commissioner's Office
in Mysore, Bangalore
Dated 3rd December 1892

No: 3018/160
(Enclg: 1 file)

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit, herewith, letter No: 791, dated 29th November 1892, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, addressed to you, together with its original enclosures, regarding the claim of the Inamdar of Gowdagere, Krandya taluk, for exemption from the payment of local cess on the Toddy revenue payable to him by Toddy Contractors, and to state that the question of such payment in regard to all Inam Villages without any exception, seems to have been settled so far back as 1875 in Govt: Proc: No: 2435 Rev: 21, dated 19th June 1875. But under the exceptional circumstances stated by the Deputy Commissioner, I beg to recommend the case for the favorable consideration and orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

L Wickett

B.S. Excise Commissioner

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 2)

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.
thro' the Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 29th November 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Papers as
per list.

General No.
No. 79.

3/12/92 Ls

Submitting for orders, the com-
plaint of Mr. A. Sreenivasa
Iyengar, Retired Assistant
Commissioner, claiming the
local cess on toddy revenue
of Sunnamanya Gowdagere
Mandya Taluk

No. 791.
In Papers as per Index.

2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 29th November 1892.

From

A. Rangaswami Iyengar Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,
Thro' The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that a complaint was presented to me by mr. A. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Retired Assistant-Commissioner and mortgagee of ½ share of the Sarvamanya Village of Gowdagere, in the Mandya Taluk, stating that as the Revenue from Toddy had been included in the items composing the Beriz of the entire village, as per Sunnud of His Highness the Maharajah dated 6th February 1806, and as the Local Cess on the entire Beriz, or Revenue of the village is being paid by him to Government there was no reason why he should be required to pay again the cess on the amount of Toddy rent which he received from the Government Contractor. Before proceeding with my enquiry, I made a reference to the Inam Superintendent and obtained an Extract from the Inam Settlement Register of that village, which showed that the Toddy Revenue valued at Pagodas 207-0-8 (equivalent to about Rs 603-12-0 @ Rs 2-14-8 a pagoda)

had been included in the total Beriz of 5-59-6-2 pagodas or Rs 1627-15-6. The amount of toddy rent now payable to the Inamdar for half the village is Rs 115 per annum exclusive of the value of the surplus toddy exported from the Inam village to Maddur and Malavelli &c which, it is apparent from the evidence adduced, amounted to Rs 140 Thus the total income per annum would be Rs 255 which is less than ½ the toddy beriz as above particularized.

2. Under the circumstances, it appears to me that the levy of the Local Cess of one anna separately on the toddy Revenue payable by the toddy Contractor would be a double levy of the same tax. The Cess due to Government on the toddy Revenue payable by the Contractor to the Inamdars was collected in previous years under the authority contained in Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No 2435 / Rev 121 dated 19-6-1875 which provides for no exemption in cases in which the Revenue from toddy has been distinctly treated as assets in calculating the Beriz or recorded value of the village.

3. I beg therefore to submit the case for the orders of Government.—

The extract from the Inam Register and the proceedings and other papers connected with the subject, are submitted herewith, in original, for reference and

and obliging returns.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant
Vr.
for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

5

List of Papers connected with the Local cess payable on Toddy Revenue of Suvanamy & Gowdagere, Mundya Taluk.

—a—

1 Petition of Mr. A. Srinivasayya for Dy 18-2-92

2 Translation of the above with Dy Commrs notes thereon.

3 2 Copies of memorandum drawn by Mr. D. Ramachandra regarding the Complaint of Mr. Srinivasayya.

4 Dy Commrs docket No. 2198 Dy 14-11-91 to Petitioner

5 Mr. Srinivasayya's letter Dy 24-11-91 on the subject.

6 —— Do —— Dy 28-11-91 —— Do ——

7 —— Do —— — 3-10-91 —— Do ——

8 Canarese Summons issued to Muddappa including the Procep memo-

9 Petitioners reminders Dy 26th June & 23rd July/91

10 Dy Commrs draft letter to Asst Supdt No. 981 Dy 11-8-92

11 Asst Supdts reply No. 48 Dy 17-9-92 with a statement enclosed -

12 Petitioners list of Exhibits filed in the Case which are as follows: —

No. 611

Muddappa & Co's Canarese Yadi Dy 13-8-89 addressed to Mr. Srinivasayya

2 Copy of Dy/Commrs docket no 2460 dy 25-3-89 to muddappa & Co:

3 Canarese Zadi no 628 dy 14-8-89 muddappa's to m: Srinivasargopal.

4 Inam Supplt Title deed no 57026 dy 1-12-80 granted to Seshadri Sastri of Gowdagere Sowramana a Village.

5 Copy of Sunad granted to Seshadri -Sastri by His late Highness the maharajah of mysore 6-2-1826.

total 21 Papers

D. Chinnarajaiyangar
Revenue Sheristadar
Dy/Commrs Office
mysore Distt



Case Posted on 23rd March 1892

Rev: Mis: Case No. 24 of 1891-92

In the Court of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Rev. Suit Case No 1891-92

Petitioner

A. Sreenivasiengar
retired asst. Comr residing
in Mysore

Counter Petitioner

D. C. Mallappa
late Toddy Contractor
Mysore District

The petitioner states as follows:—

(a) That he is in possession and enjoyment of ½ share in Gowdagere Inam village in the Nanjangud Taluq and the total beriz or recorded value of the same being as per Samvat Rs 813-15 which includes a sum of Rs 301-14 on account of toddy income

(b) That a sum of Rs 50-14 is levied annually on account of local cess on the said total Beriz by the Amildar and has been recovered accordingly from the petitioner up to 3rd year Virodhi, i.e 1889, and when the Amildar demanded payment of Rs 50-14 as due to Govt on account of local cess for the year Vikruti, i.e 1890-91, the petitioner objected to pay the whole amount as the toddy contractor (Counter Petitioner) had already deducted

deducted Rs 20-4-0 on account of local
cess said to be due to Govt on the Coddy rent of 1/2
share of the Inam village for 1887 to 1890 and that he (petitioner)
would only pay the balance Rs 30-10-0 which
the Amildar refused to receive. The petitioner
thereupon sent the money to Mr. D. Vamakal Rao
Y/P Deputy Comr in September last who remitted
the same to the Treasury to be credited replying
at the same time to the petitioner, to that effect,
and hence the demand of local cess for Vikruti
Subsidy and withholding remainder to be paid by petr

(2) That petitioner prays that an order
may be issued to the Amildar to the effect
that he should only collect from year Khara
the balance
after deducting the amount of cess collected
by the Coddy Contractor from the Coddy rent payable
the petitioner

It will be seen from a reference to Mr. D. Vamakal
order in his Hukum No: 389 dated 18th Sept/71
that the said Sum of Rs 30-10-0 was only to be
received as payment made on protest subject
to the result of the enquiry regarding the claim
for

for local cen nia de by petitioner before Sub Division Officer Tech Rokko who has submitte Since the entire record, Connected therewith for disposal in this office.

24-3-92

~~Mundi~~
Rely presented

Nil a claim agt Govt Contractor & it is unnecessary to make him a party.

Advocate

Pleas are allowed upon which exhibits in the case.

~~Mr~~ Order may be prepared after exhibits

7-6-92 Adv

Rely presented

Mundrapha for appts

I have read a number of petitions & affidavits in the contest of 1887-90. I must have decided I am a local Govt servant under Mr Alexander

Rec'd – I will hereunder
an extract ~~from the~~

Adm 27th June

Adm

27. 6 42

Rec'd pursuant
Muddappa further ex'd
I did demand of the rate
of Canara for rent due by him.
I have paid a portion of Rs
~~Lost~~ deposits & have to pay Rs 114-4
ords. I paid Rs 9. on 17th Aug 1869.
I will look up & hereunder the receipts.

Rec'd
I used to pay for my help
Have borrowed Rs 50-14-0

Rec'd Mam Rupees

Orders received.

~~A~~ 717.

Adm

13

Memo

Mr. Srinivas Iyengar's contention in the main is that as long as he pays Local cess on the whole Beriz of his Inam village, he is not bound to pay the same seperately on Toddy revenue realized every year. The question was discussed by the Chief Secretary and the opinion was that the Inamdar is entitled to a refund of so much of the amount of Local cess as is calculated on the Toddy revenue included in the Beriz of the village.

In cases in which the Toddy revenue is conceded to the Inamdar, the Sanad generally shows what was the amount of such revenue at the time the village was alienated. In recent years the Toddy revenue has considerably increased and the Inamdar is bound to pay cess Local cess on the increased revenue realized by

by him In order to ascertain whether the Beriz of the village on which Local cess is calculated includes the Toddy revenue Mr. Sreenivasa Iyengar was asked to produce the original Inamad or other Document; which, he does not seem to have done. Unless he shows that, the Local cess he now pays includes that payable on Toddy revenue; his liability to pay the amount calculated on the annual realizations from this item cannot cease.

The question as to what amount the present Contractor is to pay on account of Toddy revenue is a question of fact, and will have to be decided by a regular enquiry. The cases referred to, were pending in the Sub-division and I remember of having ordered their being sent to the District Office for early disposal.

Beyond this, nothing has taken place in the matter.

Mr. Sreenivasa Iyengar's

petition is herewith returned. 15

D Hamilton

24/10/91

⊗ Owing to the refusal of the guardians the Amlwch has been forced to receive the amount rendered on account of Local Loans & Stamps further necessitating the reduction of the Establishment &c

Memo.

Copy and Translation
Vide No 89 of 4/9/91
& Govt Sec Office Selection
& Govt the Revenue
Vide Govt No 8 10/11/91

Mr Sreenivasa Iyengar's contention in the main is that as long as he pays Local Cess on the whole Beriz of his Inam Village, he is not bound to pay the same seperately on Toddy revenue realized every year. The question was discussed by the Chief Secretary and the opinion was that an Inamdar is entitled to a refund of so much of the amount of Local Cess as is calculated on the Toddy revenue included in the Beriz of the village.

In cases in which the Toddy revenue is conceded to the Inamdar - the Sannad generally shows what was the amount of such revenue at the time the village was alienated. In recent years, the Toddy revenue has considerably increased and the Inamdar is bound to pay Local Cess on the increased revenue realized by him. In order to ascertain whether the Beriz of the village on which Local Cess is calculated includes the

Toddy

Toddy revenue. Mr Sreenivasa Iyengar was asked to produce the original Sannad or other document, which he does not seem to have done. Unless he shows that, the Local Cess he now pays includes that, payable on Toddy revenue; his liability to pay the amount calculated on the annual realizations from this item cannot cease. Pending disposal of the question, the Tahsildar has been ordered to receive the amount tendered on account of Local Cess and stay further proceedings for the recovery of the same by Coercive measures.

The question as to what amount the present Contractor is to pay on account of Toddy revenue is a question of fact and will have to be decided by a regular enquiry. The cases referred to were pending disposal before the Sub Division Officer and I remember of having ordered their being sent to the District for early disposal

Mr Sreenivasa Iyengar's petition is herewith returned.

D Ramachandra Rao
4/11/91

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Anyore Dist

1891

No, Mr A Srinivasaraya Clr
Retd asst Comr
Anyore

No 2198
of 14 Nov

Dy 14/16 Nov 1891

No 2198

Encl 1

Class
Rs 1620
Rice

His petition of 6th inst mentioning

With reference to the above noted forwards for his information Rs 30/14/- copy of memo passed by 1st Decr Mr Wanklyn Rev Offr Anyore "Clr" on the subject of his compln petition dated 3rd October 1891 and requests that arrears may be allowed to the extent of Rs 200 only (increase only, no decrease at all) and with the other revenue the whole comes to about Rs 650 (no increase but decrease only). If would

Mr Wanklyn
Rev Offr
Anyore

1 2
5 4

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

Sir

I beg to acknowledge the
receipt of your docket Gel No 2198
d/ 14 Nov current, and in reply there
to state as follows

The Sunnad lands of the village,
(Land, Mohatharpha, &c) is Rs 1620
/10/11, of which the Zoddy revenue is
Rs 603/12/ Of this total amount
one half is the each share, viz
Rs 800 & odd, so that the Zoddy revenue
for my share is shewn as Rs 30/14
In the inam title deed d/ 1st Dec
1880 it is put down thus "Old
valuation 1627/15/6" plus Rs 7/4/7
How this difference has occured
I do not know. The present-day
Zoddy realization for my share is about
Rs 200 only (decrease only, no increase
at-all) and with the other revenue
the whole comes to about Rs 650 (no
increase but-decrease only). It would
thus

ve goo deemph
th the details
ed for showing
ecess due from
has been calcu

Hence be seen that the valuation
Rent is much more than the present
actual realization, i.e. Zoddy renewal
more by Rs 100 & odd, and the other
renewal by about Rs 50. Now it would
be seen that the local cess is
calculated by the Taluq authorities
at random once for all, upon the
gross old valuation, including Co
Mohatharpha &c for which there is
no local cess, instead of calculating
it on the nett, after deducting Moha-
tharpha, &c. Accordingly to their old
valuation, my share comes to Rs
8/3/15/9, of which Zoddy is Rs 30/14/4,
though the fact is otherwise as shewn.
The cess is calculated by the Taluq
authorities upon the Rs 8/3/15/9, which
comes to Rs 30/14/4, and this is levied
yearly without deducting mohatharpha
and other items having no cess, and
without any regard to periodical change
variation, season &c; and now in
addition to the above rough levy, a
further deduction is made by the
Zoddy contractor in the amount due
by him to me on a/c of Zoddy sold
by him at the village, on the ground
that he is also to pay to Govt local
cess on the amount payable by him
to Govt on a/c of the village Zoddy
sale. Thus the payment of the cess
by me has grown double, treble &
so on. The Chief Secretary, therefore,
pointed out to Mr Ramakal Row

that I am entitled to a refund of
much of the amount as is deducted
the Toddy contractor from what he is
to pay to me. On the subject of this
excess valuation & wrongful levy of local cess
I addressed a petition to the Deputy Com.
and the reply received is to the effect—
that Survey settlement would adjust
such things. I do not know how the
Survey settlement could do so, but how-
ever I am waiting for it for further
movement, if necessary. Such has been
the glaring practice up to this time, and
I hope you will bring these things to the
notice of Govt for justice-sake.

3. Now, after deducting so much as the
Toddy contractor deducted from my due,
the remainder has been paid in by me,
and so the a/c for the year vikruthi
last has been settled.

4 What remains to be done is the
issue of an order to the Amildar of
Mandya to receive from me so much
as is payable after deducting the sum
deducted by the Toddy contractor,

5 The contractor has in this way withheld
from me my due for two years last,
and therefor I claim the amount
with interest at 12 p/c per annum
and with costs of the suit

6 The other question is this:- The contractor
was paying me for the preceding period
of the Toddy contract at Rs 9 per month
for the village sale of Toddy, but as
the amount of the present district
contract is much larger than
the amount of the preceding contract,
I claim proportionate increase for myself.

The

he Chief Secretary also enquired to Mr Ramaiah for that this was very simple, the contractor must pay me proportionately Similar complaints have already been accordingly decided by the Deputy Comm. I was never asked to produce any document whatever. It is about 140 years since the village was granted, & the state of things as they stand for such a length of time requires no proof.

Thus what I ask for the present is an order to the Amildar as above shewn, and an order to the effect that the 2000 contractor should pay me in proportion to the amount of the present contract with interest and Costs. I earnestly solicit the favor of your settling the matter for me without further delay, the case being already pending three years since,

I beg to be Sir
Your most obedient Servant
H Srinivasa Iyengar
retd assist Comm

23d Nov 9/3
Mysore 3

Recd 30/11/91 [illegible]

28-11-91
Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

In continuation of my letter of 23d current I beg to submit hereunder jamabandi Gôshwârâ of my half share of the village of Goudagêrê for the past year Vikrûthi –

2 Land revenue

Dry Rs 214-1-10 Wet 181-8-2 Garden 22-13-1 Total 418-7-1

Jodi	-14- / 6-12-
Hullbunni	9-
Earth salt	2-4
Fruit trees	
Mohatharpha ie house tax, loom, oil mills	34-8-
Toddy	248-
Total Rs	717-11-5

* this year it would be less even by Rs 100 or thereabout

3 I receive local cess as follows:

Upon land revenue viz

Rs 418-7-1 . . . . . Rs 26-2-6

On toddy . . . . . " - " - 11

Alkalinni . . . . . " - 6 - 9

Earth salt . . . . . " - 9 - 4

Fruit trees . . . . . " - " - 2

Total Rs 27-3-4

4 From the above it would be seen that I receive no local cess upon Prohibitory and toddy revenue, while the Govt levy it from me upon all items without exception —

5 The toddy contractor should properly pay me local cess on the toddy revenue, but he takes it from me and the Govt also claim it.

I beg to be Sir
Your most obedient Svt
[illegible]
Assist Commr retd List

3. 10. 1891.

Mysore

Chief Sec.

I hold one half Share as mortgagee of the Inam pillage Gowdgeray in the Taluq of Mandya and I was paying the usual local cess to Govt on the whole Beriz, which included Toddy and other Cesses. Some three years ago, there was an order by the Deputy commissioner of the District to the effect that the Toddy contractor should deduct from the amount due by him to me, on account of the pillage Toddy, local cess on the amount of the Toddy contract payable by him to Govt. Unusual as this was, it made double payments of the cess on my side, and double receipt on behalf of Govt. This gave rise to complaint to the Dewan and the matter is still pending. Having duly seen Mr Ananda Rao, Chief Secretary, and Spoken to him on the subject, he asked Mr Namakal Rao to settle the matter for me by passing an order to the effect that I may pay the cess to Govt less the amount which the Toddy contractor deducts from the amount payable by him to me on account of the pillage Toddy. In the meanwhile, there arose another case. The Toddy contractor pays to me Toddy Shop rent at percentage on the amount of the periodical Toddy contract, and this varies according or in proportion to the amount of each of the periodical Contract. For the three years contract ending on.

on the 31st. March 1890, the contractor was paying me at 9 Rs a month. The amount of the subsequent contract for 3 years being much larger, I claimed at 12 Rs per month; but the contractor having roughly said that he would not pay me more than 6 Rs a month a dispute arose, & there was another complaint on this head to the Depty commissioner. The Depty commissioner referred the complaint to the French rocks subdivision office for disposal, but this is also pending in the subdivision office for the past two years. As suggested by Mr Ananda Rao, Mr Namakal Rao undertook to send for the papers from the subdivision office, and settle both the complaints. In the meanwhile Mr Namakal Rao told me to remit to him the amount of the local cess due by me to Govt minus the sum deducted by the contractor. This sum has accordingly been paid by me, and the Depty commissioner has written to the Amildar on the subject. The Amildar had attached the pillage worth 15,000 Rs for some 30 Rs, and even that, without obtaining the previous sanction of the Govt as directed by the Revenue code. I hear that the Amildar is also called upon for explanation on his illegal proceeding. &c.

As you are now the Depty commissioner I have had to trouble you briefly with these lines, and I request that you will be so kind as to settle the matter for me at an early date, as proposed by Mr Namakal Rao, at your early convenience. &c.

To
A. Rungasawmy Aiyangar Esqr
Depty commissioner
Mysore District.

yours most obediently.

(Asst Commissioner)

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಜನಾಂಗದವರ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿ

1871 ರ ಜನಗಣತಿಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ

ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಮೂಲ

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಭಾಷೆ

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಉಡುಗೆ ತೊಡುಗೆ

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಆಹಾರ ಪದ್ಧತಿ

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಆಚಾರ ವಿಚಾರಗಳು

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಹಬ್ಬಗಳು

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಮದುವೆ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯ

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಸ್ಥಾನಮಾನ

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಗತಿ

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಸಾಂಸ್ಕೃತಿಕ ಕೊಡುಗೆ

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳು

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಸಂಘಟನೆಗಳು

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಸಾಧಕರು

ಸಿಳ್ಳೆಕ್ಯಾತರ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿಯ ಉಳಿವಿಗೆ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಕ್ರಮಗಳು

ಉಪಸಂಹಾರ

Mysore District

ಸಹಿ

ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲರು

ಸಹಿ

ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲರು

Mr 237

My dear Sir,

Kindly Note that

You will be kind enough to
cause me the furnishing from
of the court case matters hearing
disposed in the Court. I you
ordered Chief Mudiasfa to
produce his receipts, but I
do not believe he has done
so as yet. The cost money
payable by me to Government
for the first year Kikuyu, has
been duly made up by the
Treasurer & I have made into

the

of Course be kindly disposed of by yourself.

I remain

Yours most obediently

[illegible]

Enc

Rendered Accts: Comm[illegible]

Enc. 33 29/6/92

Madras

My dear Sir, Talcher

You have ordered a collection of all orders relating to Dondy. If perhaps the one sent with me (copy) is not complete with the collection, I herewith send the copy. It is the Chief Commissioner's proceedings 3/19 June 92 No 2405, Rev 21 in which the Swamis rights and the rights of the contractors are shown. The defendants conduct as witness in court is well known to yourself to need any remarks by myself. He said that I had no right to propose and he had the right in the contract to give the Swamy as he chose. Kindly that you will be pleased to give me



1892

To

The Supt of Inam Sett.

in Mysore

Bangalore

Dated 11th August 1892

No: 981.

Encl —

Sir,

Complaint has been made to me by Mr A Sellamuttu Pillai Inamdar asst Comr & Mortgagee of ½ share of the Sarvamanya village of Gowdapur Mandya Taluq that the revenue from toddy has been included in the assets forming the basis of the entire village as per Sanad of H. H. the Maharaja 26-2-1832 and that such being the case; the local cess on the entire basis or revenue including that derived from toddy has been paid by him, whereas there is no

why he should be required to pay the
~~Cess~~ on the amount of Toddy rent
which he received from the Govt
~~Toddy~~ Contractor I have the honor
to refer you to the above request
and to request that you will kindly furnish me
with an extract from the settle-
ment Register of this Pergunnah
so as to enable me to find out
the entire revenue of the village
the item of Toddy alone was
to form during the settlement &
what the details are of the other items
and thereupon to determine how
far the argument advanced by
the Petr is tenable.

I have &c

Dy Commr

Distr

the whole amount has been included in the estimated total rent of the village in order to know exactly what the Toddy rent and what the Cess has been charged thereon

Enclosure to 1892

36

From. The Supt. of Inam Settlt.

To The Deputy Commissioner

My D. O. Letter No. 23

Dated 17th
Despatched 18th } September
Received 19

19-9-92

Enclosures No. 1 Rev. Supt Bms. up

General No. 1892-93 Rec'd 19/9

Inm. No. 48 Rev. Supt 20/9

Has Docket No. 981

of the 11th Ultimo

As requested in the [illegible], has the honor to forward an extract from the Inam Register of Gowdagere S.M. Village Kudaregundy Hobli of the Nundya Taluk, Containing the Case under reference.

[signature]

Dy. Supt. of Inam Settlt.

To acknd. by myself with thanks
26/9
Submitted

Extract from the Registers of Sarvamanya Go

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Number of Lease or Patta holding under one Grant in this Village	Class, Extent, and Value of Inam: General Claim to which the Inam belongs.	Survey number and name of Field of which Land is composed in the Grant. Or, when for Sites	Extent: Local measure in cawney where the Local measure is an acre, Gunta &c annexed, it is to be entered in this Column	Extent: Measured in Acres and other fractions in decimals	old Assessment. / Survey Assessment. Where no Survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Govt the Cess paid by the Ryots to the Inamdar or the average assessment of similar Govt Land should be entered in Column		Description of Inam. Whether for Service it is Held for. Whether the Service is Continued. If for Land, Buildings, Wastes &c they are efficiently kept up. If necessary or Not.	Whether Free of Tax or Liable to Quit-Rent, i.e. Jodi &c Amount of Cattle to be entered.
	I D No 12							
	Perpetual				Kulkurni Sarvamanya Patte of Sirdi 5 Villages for 1831.	5543-2 = 1631-4-8	For Personal benefit.	Free.
					Deduct Vanis on Jodi Inams	1-7-0 = 3-15-2		
					Balance	5539-2 = 1627-5-6		
	Title Deed No 57026							
	Date of Pro/ No 1-12-60							

Sarvamanya Village
Sonnabya chard, Hill
Ali Sunka, Appina Mal
Kalangutk
Jasti Be
Deduct Jani
1. Joty, 1. Reorganky —

1 Kirup from H. La
dated 26 Septr: 1826 to
of the Inadder Taluk a
of the 6 Guntas of dake
Sarvamanya Village
as the Land was gran
Shastry, and directing
already collected on

Magre Village of Jette, Kudaragundy Sanne atte

Documents in Support of the		Name and Relationship of per			
Written instruments in support of the claim, original Sanad, all Miscellaneous documents and other proofs of long possession. In this Column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant whether the grant is produced or Lost &c. and the general Specification of terms of all documents produced should be given	Name of original Grantee	Name entered in the Register for the year 1860.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1860	Particulars of the Inamdar &c. entered	Residence, name, and age, &c.
10	11	12	13	14	15
1. Sanad from H. Late Highness the Maha Raja of Mysore dated 6th Feby 1826 to the address of Vidwansa Rajeshwara Shastry authorizing him to enjoy the Gowdagere Village of the Hoddur Taluk with 62 dasles (Population) and a good order assessed at Pp. 305-3-10 as particularized below. with powers of alienation and all the produce Joty Koota Nagga Chara Adaya Inamavana, Wet Dales & excepting Sandalwood.		Rajeshwara Shastry	Taluk Gowdagere Pymaish Sirkar Inam Pattey of 1833 Samakka Vidwansa Rajeshwara Shastry Gowdagere asli — 1 Dakali — 1 Sodtara — 1 Chuk — 1 Total Cawie Sendi Khanas inclusive — 561-3-2 Particulars Khadim Kulayatte tenis — 343-1-0 Jodies — 7-2-10 Sende Khanas — 207-4-8 Bajebaki — 3-9-0 — 561-3-2 Jaridaums for Sale & Peregandy — 1-7-0 Balance — 554-12-2	Hulgur agrahar Mysore 1. Seshadri = Shastry 43 2. Linga Seshanna 52 Vide R Secretary Pp 4093/457 (Initial 18/12/82
Pp. F. C. 343-1-0 — 7-2-10 — 350-3-10 — 1-7-0 — Balance 348-6-10					

Princess the Maha Raja of Mysore
head of the present & future Amilds
ing them to allow the enjoyment
Issued at C. P. 207-4-8 to the
Gowdagere of the said Taluk
Vidwansa Samanya to Rajeshwara
er to remit the Amount
thereto to the above individual.

Review by the Personal Assistant
and Confirmation by the Drain Commr

20

Confirmed free, but subject to all Local Fund
& Irrigation Cesses.
Rights to Sayer and to the items of Toddy revenue
Granted.
Rights to the distillation of Arrack and to
Cocoa preserved.
Revaluation Survey is desired.

(Signed) Rob: Cole
7-8-79. Drain Supt

Supt's Office
Date 17th Sept/92

"Extract
Supt
Supt of Drain Settlt

Note

A. Independent Inams.

B. Items Enjoyed.

1. Land revenue.
2. Mohatarfa.
3. Amroy.
4. Sayer.
5. Date trees.
6. Jungle.
7. Salt pan.

C. Statistics.

1. Asli Village ———
2. Dakhalis ditto ———
   1. Kutta dodda
   2. Moriyana dodda.
3. Tank ——— 1
4. Kuttes ——— 2
5. Ponds ——— 4
6. Go Katti ——— 24
7. Pot well ——— 1
8. Yatam do: ——— 1/6
9. Devastons ——— 6
10. Houses. thatched 66, tiled 33 } ——— 99
11. Population
    Men. Boys. women. girls. 119. 84. 110. 95. } ——— 408
12. Cattle
    Bullocks 200 – Cows 130. Calves 40
    She buffaloes 30. Calves 18. he buffaloes 8
    Sheep 400. goats 50. asses 12. Pony 1

D. Boundaries are to be fixed by the Survey Departt

(Signed) Syed Nassir o Deen
Inam Assistant
6th February 1879.

1889

From Dep.y Commissioner
Mysore District

To Messrs. R. C. Woodaspadde
Toddy Contractors
Mysore

Dated 25th March 1889

No. 2460/1889

Their No. 88, dated 20th November, 1888, forwarding a list of payments made, or agreed to be paid, to the several Inamdars of the Mysore District.

In

I respectfully states, that Rs 159-12-7 being the amount of Local Cess on Inamdars toddy revenue, is due by [illegible] to September last, to the Government, under Chief Commissioners proceedings No. 2435 R 21 dated 19th June 1875, which it is requested may be paid to the District Treasury, and the receipt therefore sent. This Course must be followed with regard to subsequent, and future payments.

Signed

T. Annand Roo

Dy. Commissioners

Dhyrone District.

Copy

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಕೃಇ/೧೨೫೨/೨೦೨೨-೨೩ ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಕಛೇರಿ, ಕೃಷಿ ಭವನ, ಡಾ. ಬಿ.ಆರ್. ಅಂಬೇಡ್ಕರ್ ವೀಧಿ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-೫೬೦೦೦೧, ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೪.೦೭.೨೦೨೨

ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ

ವಿಷಯ: ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನ ಕುರಿತು.

ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ ಜಂಟಿ ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು, ಉಪ ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಈ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೧. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೨. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೩. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೪. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೫. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೬. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೭. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

(illegible)

General No. 57026
F. 2. R. Regr No. 36/12

TITLE DEED GRANTED TO Mysore Seshádri Sastry

1. On behalf of the Chief Commissioner for the Government of the Territories of His Highness the Mahárája of Mysore, I acknowledge your title to a personal Inám, the village of Gowdagere Lakshmanayya in the Talook of Mandya of the District of Mysore in Arkalgud Division, as per particulars mentioned in the annexed Schedule.

2. This Inám by the terms of the Sanad is heritable and transferable, and it is confirmed to you, your heirs, or assignees on those terms in perpetuity, so long as you or they are faithful subjects of the State.

Particulars.	Description of Land: Old Number or Name.	Survey No.	Old Extent in Kandis.	Survey Acres.	Assessment: Old Valuation.	Survey Assessment.	Former Jódi.	Quit-rent for Enfranchisement.	Combined Quit-rent.	Boundaries.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Dry ...										
Wet ...										
Garden ...										
Total...					1627. 15. 6			Free.		

3. This Inám is moreover subject to the payment of general, local, and municipal taxes, and a proportionate share of the cost of the repairs of Government works of irrigation in common with ordinary Kandáyem Ryots.

INAM SUPERINTENDENT'S OFFICE,
Dated 1st December 1880

INAM COMMISSIONER MYSORE

Offg. Supt. of Inám Settlement

and

For Inám Commissioner

ಕು [illegible] ಗ್ರಾನು

NAMES. ಹೆಸರು.	Shares or Vrittis. ಹಿಸ್ಸೆ ಅಥವಾ ವೃತ್ತಿ.	Former Jódi. ಸಾಬಕು ಜೋಡಿ.	Combined Quit-Rent. ಸಾಬಕು ಜೋಡಿಗೆ ಕೇರಿದ ಕ್ವಿಟ್‌ರೆಂಟ್.	
[illegible]				

[illegible]

[illegible]

Superintendent
of
Inam Settlement

46

11/8/80

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಂದಾಇ/ಕಂದಾಯ ಸಚಿವರು/ಸಭೆ/೨೦೨೨-೨೩

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ
ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ
ಕಂದಾಯ ಸಚಿವರ ಕಛೇರಿ
ಕಂದಾಯ ಸೌಧ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೪.೧೧.೨೦೨೨

ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿ

ವಿಷಯ: ಕಂದಾಯ ಸಚಿವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೪.೧೧.೨೦೨೨ ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ೧೧.೩೦ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ಸಚಿವರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಅಶೋಕ ರವರ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜರುಗಿದ ಸಭೆ.

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದವರು:

೧. ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಅಶೋಕ, ಕಂದಾಯ ಸಚಿವರು
೨. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸುರೇಶ್ ಕುಮಾರ್, ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು
೩. ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಗೋವಿಂದರಾಜು, ಕಂದಾಯ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
೪. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಪ್ರಸಾದ್, ಭೂಮಾಪನ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು
೫. ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ರಂದೀಪ್, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರ
೬. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಜಗದೀಶ್, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ
೭. ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಲತಾಕುಮಾರಿ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ರಾಮನಗರ
೮. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಆರ್. ರವಿ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಕೋಲಾರ
೯. ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎನ್. ರಮೇಶ್, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ
೧೦. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ನಕುಲ್, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ತುಮಕೂರು
೧೧. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಜಿ. ಹಿರೇಮಠ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ
೧೨. ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಂ. ಜಾನಕಿ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ
೧೩. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಬಿದರಿ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ
೧೪. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ದಿವಾಕರ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ
೧೫. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಹಾವೇರಿ
೧೬. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಗದಗ
೧೭. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಧಾರವಾಡ
೧೮. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ
೧೯. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಬಾಗಲಕೋಟೆ
೨೦. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ವಿಜಯಪುರ
೨೧. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಕಲಬುರಗಿ
೨೨. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಬೀದರ್
೨೩. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ರಾಯಚೂರು
೨೪. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಯಾದಗಿರಿ
೨೫. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ
೨೬. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ
೨೭. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಚಾಮರಾಜನಗರ
೨೮. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಮೈಸೂರು
೨೯. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಮಂಡ್ಯ
೩೦. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಹಾಸನ
೩೧. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಕೊಡಗು
೩೨. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ
೩೩. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಉಡುಪಿ
೩೪. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು

ಸಭೆಯ ಆರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂದಾಯ ಸಚಿವರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಅಶೋಕ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯವೈಖರಿಯನ್ನು ಸುಧಾರಿಸಲು ಮತ್ತು ಜನಸಾಮಾನ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸುಲಭವಾಗಿ ಸೇವೆಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಹಲವಾರು ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಮುಖವಾಗಿ ಪೋಡಿ ಮುಕ್ತ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ, ಸರ್ವೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳ ತ್ವರಿತಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸುವುದು, ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ಕುಂದುಕೊರತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಆಲಿಸಲು ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಕರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸುರೇಶ್ ಕುಮಾರ್ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಪೋಡಿ ಮುಕ್ತ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ೨೫೦ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳನ್ನು ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ೧೨೫ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಉಳಿದ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ೨೦೨೩ ರೊಳಗೆ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದರು.

ಕಂದಾಯ ಆಯುಕ್ತರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಗೋವಿಂದರಾಜು ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಭೂಮಾಪನ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ದೂರುಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದು, ಇದನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಸರ್ವೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಭೂಮಾಪನ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಪ್ರಸಾದ್ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಭೂಮಾಪನ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ದೂರುಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದು, ಇದನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಸರ್ವೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ರಂದೀಪ್ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಜಗದೀಶ್ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಲತಾಕುಮಾರಿ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ರಾಮನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಆರ್. ರವಿ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಕೋಲಾರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎನ್. ರಮೇಶ್ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ನಕುಲ್ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ತುಮಕೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಜಿ. ಹಿರೇಮಠ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಂ. ಜಾನಕಿ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಬಿದರಿ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ದಿವಾಕರ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಹಾವೇರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಗದಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಧಾರವಾಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಬಾಗಲಕೋಟೆ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ವಿಜಯಪುರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಕಲಬುರಗಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಬೀದರ್ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ರಾಯಚೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಯಾದಗಿರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಚಾಮರಾಜನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಹಾಸನ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಅರ್ಚನಾ ರವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಕೊಡಗು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಳೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

SECTION

FILE No.

SERIAL

Re

47

Letter no 3018/160 D/3rd Dec/92
from the Excise Comr in
Mysore submitting for
the favourable consideration
of Govt, letter from the
Dy Comr, Mysore District
regarding the claim of the
Inamdar of Govdagere,
Nanjangud Taluk, for
Exemption from the pay-
-ment of Local Cess on
the Toddy Revenue
payable to him by Toddy
Contractors

No. 675-8 / 5-10-93
~~R 9719-93~~ 7

Order thereon.

R-7. 97-93.

The question was
decided long ago by the
Chief Comr of the day

Pol Dept No 2435 Dy 19th Nov. 21

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

Since 1875, and His Highness's Govt decline now to interfere with it.

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Since Govt

Dated 3/10

Despatched Chief Secy 30/9/22

Received The Excise Comm in Mysore

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner Mysore, Department of Revenue, Agriculture and Commerce, 7th June 1875.

From the Commissioner, Ashtagram Division, To the Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, General Department, No. 137—Re, dated Mysore, 26th May 1875.

I have the honor to forward herewith for the c of the Chief Commissioner copy of letter No. 189, dated 5th instant, from the Deputy Commissio Mysore District, requesting instructions on the following points.

1. Whether the toddy contractor of the Mysore ict is liable to pay local cess to inamdars on the contract amount of toddy in their villages?

2. Whether the inamdars' claim to the prime c f one anna and pie two per pot of 24 seers of toddy, when such toddy is removed for sale beyond t its of their inams, is admissible?

3. Whether in determining the amount of contr payable by the contractor to inamdars, the amount given to them by the former contractor should aken as the basis of calculation, this being in excess of the amount paid to them during the perio he contract which ended on the 31st October 1868?

Regarding the 1st point, inamdars pay local c to Government on the recorded value or beriz of their inam villages, which in some cases in the receipts from toddy as well as revenue from all other sources. Under the Chief Commission authority conveyed in the Secretary's docket No. 2529—65, dated 9th August 1872, they have pow recoup themselves, for local funds payments made by them to Government, from the occupiers of ds at the same rates as they pay to Government. This settles the question of local cess on land nue, but there is no order under which the inamdars can claim payment of local cess on other ite It seems to me therefore, that a claim on this account against the abkari contractor is untenabbut that the cess is payable to Government, and should be paid by the contractor direct, the a me being deducted from what he hands over to the inamdar.

As regards the 2nd point, I should say that, wh ce a contractor pays a certain fixed sum of money to an Inamdar for the use of the toddy of his n village, the latter cannot claim from the former any further payment on the same account. Mr Hill, however, not having stated in his letter under what circumstances prime cost was demanded, whether or not it was paid by the former contractor, a further report on the subject has been call r from him.

The 3rd question requires a short explanation.

During the period of the abkari contract which ca on the 31st October 1868, and of which the amount was Rs. 1,68,323 and odd, the inamdars rec d a certain fixed sum of money from the contractor. This contract was extended to a further per f 17 months to Arunachala Modaliar at the enhanced amount of Rs. 2,30,000. During which period Inamdars received *no extra* payment. When the contract was given to Captain Taylor in 1870 for R ,00,000, it was originally ordered by Major Campbell, that a deduction proportionate to the differe between the last two sums should be made from the contract amount to be given to inamdars, but a protest by the inamdars Major Campbell ordered enhanced payment calculated according to the pro ion which the contract of 1870 bore to that of 1868.

It is this order that the Deputy Commissioner now re ts to, but his reference was almost unnecessary, the Inamdars being clearly entitled to a proportio e increase over the sums paid to them by Captain Taylor.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, To e Commissioner, Ashtagram Division, No. 189, dated Mysore 5 May 1875.

I have the hon to request your instructions on the lowing points in connection with the toddy contract leased to Messrs. Brown and Roochmall.

2. The Inamdars claim from the contractor, in on to the proportionate increase which they are to receive, the payment of the local cess, on the grou that they have to pay local cess on the beriz of their village in which abkari is included. Mr. Brown obts to make the payments as it is not stipulated in the bond, and the claim is advanced now for the fir time.

3. The contractor claims the privilege of taking fre charge whatever quantity of the toddy he may require for sale beyond the limits of the inams. e inamdars object, and claim payment of the prime cost at the prevailing rate which is generally one and two pie per pot of 24 seers. On the other

hand the contractor complains of the smallof the profits in cases in which he has to import toddy from a distance for sale in inam villageshich there are no date groves.

4. In Commissioner's Circular Order No. the 29th March 1870, it was decided that the inamdars should, notwithstanding the loss to Govent by the last contract, obtain from the contractor an advance of 20 per cent on their former contra It is asked now whether in calculating the proportionate increase which they are to receive, tlove enhancement should be deducted.

5. The favor of an early reply is request

No. 2435—Rev. 21, dat Bangalore, 19th June 1875.

Order thereon.—The Commissioasks for instructions on the three following points arising out of claims put forward holders of inam villages against the toddy contractor for the Mysore District :-

1*st.* Whether the toddy contracts liable to pay local cess to inamdars on the contract amount of todd their villages.

2*nd.* Whether the inamdars' cl to the prime cost of one anna and two pies per pot of 24 seers of tod when the liquor is removed for sale beyond the limit of their inams, is missible, and—

3*rd.* Whether in determining payment to be made by the contractor to the inamdars, the amount g to the latter by the former contractor should form the basis of compu on.

2. On the first point, the Offg Commissioner observes that the claim to payment of local cess from the contractor now made by the inamdars for the first time. It may in some cases happen that the nue from toddy has been treated as assets in fixing the beriz or recorded value of i villages, but in the large majority of cases, little or no revenue was probably deriv from this source, and there are instances of inam villages which have no date grov laiming and obtaining a share of the toddy contract. Moreover, the concession mto inamdars in guaranteeing to them under the terms of the contract between the ernment and the toddy contractor a share in the profits of that contract is a suffici liberal one, to remove grounds for any additional claim upon the contractor. The ffg. Chief Commissioner concurs in the opinion of the Commissioner that the cess levi on the inamdars' rent from this source should be paid direct to the Government by contractor, on account of the inamdars, and be deducted by him from the amount of which he pays to them.

3. With regard to the 2nd poin the Offg. Chief Commissioner coincides in Major Hay's opinion that after the inamdar consented to receive payment in a fixed sum from the toddy contractor, the latter ist be at liberty to dispose of the toddy as he pleases. It is probable that there are ses in which an inamdar may object to see his date groves overworked in order to m the wants of other localities. In such cases the inamdar will be free to decline to se his trees to the contractor or even to withdraw the present lease on condition th six months notice be given prior to the expiry of any official year during the curren of the toddy contract unless his agreement with the contractor prevents such a conrse.

4. The question raised on the 3 point involves no difficulty, bu to obviate some misunderstanding which seems to exis the Offg. Chief Commissioner desires that the proportionate increase payable to the imdars should be computed with reference to the arrangements entered into with the i dars during the act which terminated on 31st October 1868.

T. CLARKE,
Offg. Secretary.

To—The Commissioner of the Ashtagram Divi
Mr. G. G. Brown, Toddy Contractor